

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

[APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
	10/708,214	02/17/2004	Nadia Afi Edoh	2213	
	7590 11/14/2005			EXAMINER	
NADIA EDOH 31 PINGRY WAY				BALSIS, SHAY L	
	AYER, MA			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1744	
•				DATE MAILED: 11/14/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)	
10/708,214	EDOH, NADIA AFI	EDOH, NADIA AFI	
Examiner	Art Unit		
Shay L. Balsis	1744		

Advisory Action	10//08,214 EDOH, NADIA AFI						
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Shay L. Balsis	1744					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addr	ess				
THE REPLY FILED 05 November 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS							
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notal Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	he reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of his application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which laces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following me periods:						
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN							
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	06.07(f). on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing da	36(a) and the appropriate of the fee. The appropriate inally set in the final Office	e extension fee ate extension fee the action; or (2) as				
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	s of the date of appeal. Since				
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>	·						
	3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or		ducing or simplifying the	he issues for				
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1		ected claims.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			12 . 44				
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 	llowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendmei	nt canceling the				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		l be entered and an ex	kplanation of				
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-4</u> .	•						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea y and was not earlier presented. So	al and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a).				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	it does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowan	ce because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)					
13. Other: Mully							
		MARK SPISICI PRIMARY EXAMIN GROUP 3400	H NER				

1700

Application No. 10/708,214

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The added limitation to claim 1 of an "absorbent material", "strips of flexible scouring type material" and "circular groups of scrubbing bristles" are considered to be new matter since they were not supported by the disclosure as orginally filed. Additionally, Applicant cannot add new matter to the specification nor to the drawings. Therefore, the newly submitted figures and replacement specification contain new matter and will not be entered.